

principles compelled them to a militarism designed to capture prisoners in order to sacrifice them to their god!

Bring on NAMBLA and all freedom loving pederasts and their catamite partners. In the name of "pluralism" and "tolerance" let none of the gods and their attendant law codes be excluded from the opportunity to be worshipped in this blood stained land of polytheistic opportunity.

Why do Christian churches, who proclaim that there is only One God worthy of praise and worship, find it somehow comensurate with Christian duty to defend the rights of idolators to worship their idols? Is it so important to preserve such freedom that church leaders are willing to belie their claim that Christ is Lord above all the false lords?

Ah, but I don't want to talk about revolution. The occasion inspiring the title is my involvement in anti-abortion activism. There is a recent Supreme Court *decision* which bears my name. *Bray v. Alexandria* had to do with the blockading of abortion facilities. Federal injunctions were issued against myself, my wife, another member of my church who had organized blockades in the D.C. area, and his organization, Project Rescue (along with a few other individuals and including the familiar Operation Rescue). The Supreme Court ruled that one of the injunctions which was predictated upon the 19th century Ku Klux Klan Act had invalidly charged the defendants with an "invidious, discriminatory animus" against women. The Court thus refused to recognize the blockades as acts designed to deny women a constitutional right to abortion.

Project rescue was a project founded and funded in part by our church. The federal decree may as well have enjoined our church from performing its ministry. It is an unconventional sort of ministry - by today's standards. It has to do with defending the lives of innocent children. It has to do with the advocacy of a standard for the nation. It has to do with the proclamation vis-a-vis the state of the Lordship of Christ. It has to do with the Kingdom of God and its advancement in the earth.

I was a guest on Pat Buchanan's radio show on Monday. His co-host (his counter-host or gainsayer), the editor of *Mother Jones* magazine, responded to my comments with indignation. How could I be so arrogant as to assert my beliefs as right over against another's. Indeed the question is a religious one. He was in fact asking how I could be so narrowminded as to admit the existence of only one true God. But of course on these terms my rejoinder might well have been, How are you so arrogant as to insist that there are many gods? (This must be the inference on which the proposition of multiple law codes rests. Without a divine foundation for the law code, there can be no stability in the particular laws. There can be no "rule of law" only the rule the transitory rulers.)